

LEGISLATIVE AUDIT DIVISION

Scott A. Seacat, Legislative Auditor
John W. Northey, Legal Counsel



Deputy Legislative Auditors:
Jim Pellegrini, Performance Audit
Tori Hunthausen, IS Audit & Operations
James Gillett, Financial-Compliance Audit

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Legislative Audit Committee
of the Montana State Legislature:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the state of Montana with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2000, and June 30, 2001. The state of Montana's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the state of Montana's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the state of Montana's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the state of Montana's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the state of Montana's compliance with those requirements.

As described in Section III finding #2-40, in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, The University of Montana geology department does not appropriately certify employee time and effort reports as required by federal regulation. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, to comply with the requirements applicable to Various Federal Agencies in the Research and Development Cluster as identified in finding #2-40.

As described in Section III finding #2-8, in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned costs, the Department of Environmental Quality does not submit Federal Cash Transaction Reports for any of its federal programs as required by federal regulations. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, to comply with the requirements applicable to the U.S. Department of Interior as identified in finding #2-8.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding two paragraphs, the state of Montana complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2000, and June 30, 2001. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which

are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Section III, findings: #2-2, #2-4, #2-5, #2-6, #2-7, #2-9, #2-10, #2-11, #2-14, #2-16, #2-17, #2-18, #2-20, #2-21, #2-23, #2-24, #2-25, #2-26, #2-27, #2-30, #2-31, #2-32, #2-33, #2-34, #2-35, #2-36, #2-37, #2-38, #2-39, #2-41, #2-42, #2-46, #2-51, #2-52, and #2-53.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the state of Montana is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the state of Montana's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We noted certain matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over compliance that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the state of Montana's ability to administer a major federal program in accordance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Section III, findings: #2-1, #2-3, #2-8, #2-12, #2-13, #2-15, #2-19, #2-22, #2-28, #2-29, #2-40, #2-43, #2-44, #2-45, #2-47, #2-48, #2-49, #2-50 and #2-54.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, of the reportable conditions described above, we consider Section III, findings: #2-8, #2-40, and #2-47 to be a material weakness.

This report is intended for the information of the Legislative Audit Committee, state of Montana management, the Montana State Legislature, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signature on File)

James Gillett, CPA
Deputy Legislative Auditor

March 8, 2002